| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------|---------------|
| | 10/776,649 | HANSON ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | ILIA OUSPENSKI | 1644 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u> | | |
| (1) ILIA OUSPENSKI. | (3) | |
| (2) JANE GUNNISON. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 9 May 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Applicant provided authorization for Examiner's Amendment as set forth in the accompanying Office Action. | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| | | 0 |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) | | |